

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.367 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - RAXAUL AT  
MOTIHARI District- East Champaran

=====

Deepak Kumar @ Deepak Raut S/o Late Raghubir Raut R/o Village -  
Shyampur, Ward no. 15, P.S - Adapur, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Neha Kumari W/o Deepak Kumar @ Deepak Raut D/o Sukhdev Meshtar,  
Resident of - Parewa Kothi (Harihara), P.S - Shikarganj, District - East  
Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                  |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Kundan Rathore Alias Kundan Kumar, Adv.                      |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP                                     |
| For the O.P. No.2    | : | Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Sharad Kumar Verma, Advocate |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      24-06-2026                      Earlier, the matter was referred to Mediation  
  
Centre, but the dispute between the parties could not be settled  
  
through the process of mediation and as such, mediation failed.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
  
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2.

3. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
  
registered under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the  
  
marriage of the complainant with the petitioner was solemnized  
  
in the year 2023 as per Hindu rites and customs. After sometime  
  
of marriage, the petitioner and his family members started



demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the same, the complainant was subjected to torture by her in-laws. On 05.12.2023, the accused persons ousted the complainant from matrimonial home.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband of the O.P. No. 2 and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Further submission is that petitioner and his family members have never demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. Further submission is that petitioner is always ready to keep the complainant with full honour and dignity but the complainant does not want to lead conjugal life with the petitioner. Petitioner is aged about 24 years having no criminal antecedent and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2 have opposed the bail application.

7. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions on behalf of the parties, nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner and his clean antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court concerned within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Complaint Case No. C-162 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

