

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.527 of 2026

=====

Ramavatar Chaudhary Son of Late Ram Krishna Chaudhary, Resident of
village- Andhratharhi, Ward No. 11, P.S.- Andhratharhi, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Madhubani.
2. The Sub Divisional Officer, Madhubani.
3. The Circle Officer, Andhratharhi, District- Madhubani.
4. Mamta Devi, Wife of Sanjay Kumar Chaudhary, X
5. Sanjay Kumar Chaudhary, Son of Late Dev Narayan Chaudhary, Resident of
village- Andhratharhi, Ward No. 11, P.S.- Andhratharhi, District- Madhubani
(Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Aditya Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader (11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-03-2026 Heard Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for

the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of writ in the nature of
mandamus directing the respondent
authorities to institute encroachment case
for removal of encroachment from Plot No.
1141, 1138 and 968 which is recorded as
Bihar Sarkar Road but the private
respondent by making pucca construction*



and making boundary wall has encroached the public road and being the member of Zila Parishad is not removing the encroachment from the land in question'

(ii) for further direction to the respondent authorities to hold an inquiry against the Circle Officer concerned who despite of the representation filed by the petitioner as well as the public of the village not instituting encroachment case and has not taken any action up till now;

(iii) for further direction to the respondent authorities to dispose of the representation of the petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time frame;

(iv) for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled to..

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate authority/Court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the said stand of the petitioner.



5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority/Court for the said grievance and needless to add, if such petition is filed, the same will be taken to its logical conclusion.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

