

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3778 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2025 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Rameshwar Sharma S/o Late Bhikhari Thakur @ Late Bhikhari Sharma R/o
Village - Khalwa Khap Tola, Ward No. 2, P.S - Nautan, District - West
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kashyap, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, learned counsel for
the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. This application for grant of anticipatory bail arises out of Nautan Police Station Case No. 186 of 2025 dated 26.04.2025, disclosing the offence under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the BNS lodged by the informant, Daroga Thakur.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that his daughter was married with one Sunil Sharma in the year 2019 and due to non fulfillment of dowry, she was subjected to torture and ultimately, eliminated. Accordingly, the present FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being father-in-law of the deceased has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and he has falsely been



implicated in this case. He further submits that there is nothing specific against this petitioner while cause of death is said to be asphyxia as a result of hanging and not due to torture or strangulation. It has next been submitted that the husband of the deceased, namely, Sunil Sharma, at the time of death of the deceased, was in Saudi Arabia since 15.10.2024 till his arrival after hearing the news of his wife's death. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and he has been living with his elder son in another house in the same village. As such, he, in any way, cannot be held responsible for the death of the deceased. Lastly, it has been submitted that the husband of the deceased along with other accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail on 25.11.2025 by the learned Trial Court itself *vide* ABP No. 2649/2025.

5. On the other hand, learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. However, concedes that the husband and other persons have been granted bail by the learned Trial Court itself.

6. After having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that nothing specific has been alleged against this petitioner and the husband and other persons have been granted bail by the learned Trial



Court itself, petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased and he used to live in another house with his elder son, this Court is inclined to grant the petitioner the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. This application for anticipatory bail is, accordingly, allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with aforesaid Police Station Case subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S. 2023, as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;



(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his/her bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

