

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91933 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2025 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

=====

Dhiraj Mishra @ Dhiraj Kumar Mishra S/o- Ramanand Mishra R/V-
Chhatauna PS- Nawkothe Dist- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nakul Kumar Jamuar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Nawkothe P.S. Case No.203 of 2025 registered for the
offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 232, 303(2), 352,
351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of Bhartiye Nyay Sanhita.

3. As per prosecution case, on 25.09.2025 at about
06:30 PM, the informant went to Chhatauna Thakurbadi to
distribute sacred food. In the meantime, two F.I.R named
accused persons including petitioner came to the informant and
accused Rupesh Kumar Videshiya abused the informant and



started assaulting him with butt of pistol with intention to kill him. Accused Dhiraj Mishra indiscriminately assaulted the informant with iron rod and snatched Hanumani from the informant's neck. On *hulla* they fled and threatened the informant to be killed. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute and previous enmity. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that occurrence took place on 25.09.2025, but the FIR was lodged on 26.09.2025 and the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate seen the FIR on 27.09.2025, delay of about two days and neither the police nor the informant have given the sufficient explanation of this delay. Further submits that the injury was found simple in nature of the informant and also submits that the Section in which the FIR has been lodged, several section of BNS are bailable.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the fact the petitioner has four criminal antecedents which have been described in paragraph-3 of the application and the fact these antecedents were suppressed by



the petitioner while moving the anticipatory bail application before the court below and also given the fact that there is serious allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant with iron rod which has caused multiple injury, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

