

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.848 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-459 Year-2025 Thana- BANIAPUR District- Saran

-
1. Arun Nut S/o Pulice Nat R/o Village- Harpur Karah Nat Toli, PS-Baniyapur, Distt.- Saran
 2. Sudha Devi W/o Arun Nut R/o Village- Harpur Karah Nat Toli, PS-Baniyapur, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar =

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nalin Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the
Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
petitioners are person with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 2
is a woman and allegation is of recovery of 50 litres of liquor
from a bamboo orchard, 140 litres of liquor from a motorcycle
and a bolero vehicle and 5 litres of liquor each from possession
of Rajdev and Satrudhan.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners were not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and are not the owners of the seized vehicles and do not have any relation or concern with Rajdev and Satrudhan and they came to be implicated at the instance of Chowkidar but then it is submitted that if the Chowkidar was aware about the involvement of the petitioners in the occurrence, then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR, which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Baniyapur P.S. Case No. 459 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the



event if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioners, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed their antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed with respect to that petitioner who had concealed his criminal antecedent before this Court, but after verification if it is found that petitioners are person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

