

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2025 Thana- SONHAN District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Raghubar Bind S/o Chhathu Bind, R/o Village- Baghi, P.S.- Sonhan, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Session Trial No. 326 of 2025, arising out of Sonhan P.S. Case No. 139 of 2025 dated 18.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Section 80 read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioner was married with the daughter of the informant who died within three years of her marriage in her matrimonial home. The allegation against the petitioner and co-accused mother is that they used to assault and torture the daughter of the informant and they have been demanding motorcycle and a ring. Further allegation is that they used to call the deceased barren as she was not able to give birth to any child.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. No occurrence in the manner as alleged has ever taken place. There is no material on record to show that any demand of dowry was made or any article was ever demanded, though more than three years have elapsed since marriage. There is complete lack of cogent material or evidence in support of dowry demand. The *post-mortem* report does not show any external injury and only a ligature mark has been found on the neck of the deceased and opinion has been given that she died due to asphyxia leading to cardio-respiratory failure by hanging. As no sign of violence mark has been found on the body, it shows the allegation that the deceased was being assaulted and treated with cruelty is false and concocted. Over some petty matter, wife of the petitioner committed suicide and died and when he came to know about the occurrence, he informed the parents of the deceased. Learned counsel further submits that it has come in the statement of the witnesses who were examined during investigation that quarrel used to take place for the reason that the deceased was not able to give birth to any child and she was called barren by the petitioner and co-accused, perhaps for this



reason the daughter of informant committed suicide. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 19.07.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that daughter of the informant died in her matrimonial home within seven years of marriage.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the absence of violence marks in the *post-mortem* report and also considering the opinion regarding the cause of death and further considering framing of charge against the petitioner and his period of custody and clean antecedent, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Session Judge-V, Kaimur at Bhabua / concerned Court, in connection with **Sonhan P.S. Case No. 139 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the



petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

