

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91833 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2025 Thana- Rampur Chauram District- Arwal

Geeta Kumari Wife of Manish Kumar D/o Kaushlendra Singh, Resident of Village - Nonia Bigha, P.S.- Arwal, District - Arwal (Wrongly written in FIR village - Sarauti, P.S.- Rampur Chauram, District - Arwal).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Kumar, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 84 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 85/103(1)/238/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, as per the informant is that he submitted a written application to Rampur Chauram police station stating that he had married his daughter to Mukesh Kumar in 2008 according to Hindu customs. He gave money and gifts as dowry according to his means. His daughter's husband and in-laws were demanding ten lakh rupees from her and were pressuring her to give them the money. Upon



receiving information from his daughter, they went and tried to reason with them, but they did not listen. Finally, his daughter was murdered by her husband Mukesh Kumar and her in-laws, including her father-in-law Kaushlendra Singh, mother-in-law Meena Devi, brother-in-law Sudhir Kumar, and sister-in-law Geeta Kumari, all acting together. When they went to inquire after receiving the information, people told them that his daughter had been taken to the hospital. After a considerable time, they received no further information. All of them conspired together and murdered his daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner is married sister-in-law (nanad) of the deceased and has nothing to do with the allegations levelled against her. He further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. The deceased daughter of the informant, namely, Sarita Kumari was married to Mukesh Kumar since 2008 and the FIR has been lodged after nearly 17 years on 02.09.2025 alleging demand of dowry in which the petitioner who happens to be married sister-in-law has also been named as accused



person. Against the petitioner Geeta Kumari, there is no specific allegation and in fact, there is general and omnibus allegation against all accused persons including the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The informant is also said to have retracted his statement by filing a petition dated 26.11.2025 before the learned CJM, Arwal. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, named above, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Arwal in connection with Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 84 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

