

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91847 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2024 Thana- KASHICHAK District- Nawada

Kailash Ravidas @ Budhu Ravidas, Son of Late Puna Ravidas, R/o- Belar,
P.S.- Kashichak, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 774 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2024 Thana- KASHICHAK District- Nawada

1. Niraj Kumar, S/o Mithu Ravidas, Resident of - Belar, P.S - Kashichak,
District - Nawada
2. Navin Ravidas, S/o Sidheshwar Ravidas, Resident of - Belar, P.S -
Kashichak, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 91847 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 774 of 2026)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
CAV ORDER

4 02-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection
with Kashichak P.S. Case No. 213 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Section 103(1) of B.N.S.



3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the younger son of the informant, namely, Dipu Kumar who was studying at Nawada, has come to his village- Belar 5-6 days ago. On 30.12.2024 at 2:00 P.M, he has been hanged on a mango tree.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. The F.I.R. was lodged against unknown miscreants. During course of investigation, the C.D.R. of mobile number of the deceased was collected and from the C.D.R., it has come that the deceased was having talks with the daughter of Niraj Kumar who is one of the petitioners in Cr. Misc. No. 774 of 2026. The daughter of Niraj Kumar, namely, Kaushlya Kumari was also being asked by the police and she has also disclosed that she was on talking terms with the deceased and on the date of occurrence, she has talk with the deceased late night. She also came to know that one person is hanged on the mango tree. During investigation, one Nathun Ravidas has given his statement before the police which is recorded in para '146' of the case diary wherein he has stated that there was relationship between the deceased and the daughter of Niraj Kumar. He has further disclosed that it was known to all the village that Dipu Kumar and Kaushalya were in relationship and due to that, Niraj Kumar has called the deceased



at his house and Ravindra Ravidas, Navin Ravidas and Kailash Ravidas have killed the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioner Navin Ravidas and Kailash Ravidas has submitted that name of these petitioners has surfaced in this case in the later part of the investigation that too on 14.08.2025 whereas the occurrence is of 31.12.2024. It has further been submitted that all of a sudden, this witness has stated the involvement of Navin Ravidas and Kailash Ravidas. Before him, nobody has stated anything regarding them. It has further been submitted that all the witnesses who have been examined during investigation, they have stated that they have come to know through villagers but none of the witnesses have stated as to who has told them regarding the occurrence. From perusal of the C.D.R. of the mobile number of the deceased, it is clear that he was on talking terms with Kaushalya, the daughter of Niraj Kumar but in her statement, she has not stated anything regarding the occurrence rather she has admitted only that she was on talking terms with the deceased. It has further been submitted that save and except this, there is nothing against the petitioners. The case of the prosecution is based completely on suspicion or on the assumption that as the daughter of one of the accused Niraj Kumar was on talking terms with the deceased, all the accused persons who are the family members of Kaushalya, have killed



the deceased. From perusal of the postmortem report, it is clear that the doctors conducting autopsy of the deceased have opined that- (i) Hyoid bone can be fractured in hanging, (ii) Circular ligature mark only seen in strangulation not in hanging, (iii) in respect to the postmortem report, all findings are consistent with strangulation. Only one ante-mortem injury was found i.e. ligature mark of size in length 12 inch, width 1.5 cm. It has also been submitted that the postmortem report also goes to show that save and except the ligature mark, there was no visible injury on the person of the deceased. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent and they are languishing in judicial custody since 22.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that it is clear from the case diary that the deceased was on talking terms with Kaushalya and the accused persons/ petitioners are none other than the family members of Kaushalya. They were unhappy with this relationship that is why, they have killed the deceased and that it is a case of honour killing.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named



petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-VI, Nawada in connection with Kashichak P.S. Case No. 213 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	26.03.2026
Uploading Date	02.04.2026
Transmission Date	02.04.2026.

