

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1944 of 2026

Yogendra Sah Son of Late Thakur Sah, Resident of Sheohar, P.S. and District Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Land and Revenue Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer-in-Chief, National Highway Division- 104 (old), Bihar, Patna.
3. The Executive Engineer, National Highway Division- 104 (old), Sitamarhi.
4. The Junior Engineer, National Highway, Sitamarhi Division, Sitamarhi.
5. The District Collector, Sheohar.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reform, Sheohar.
7. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Sheohar.
8. The Circle Officer, Sheohar.
9. The Circle Amin, National Highway Division, Sitamarhi Division, Sitamarhi.
10. The Assistant Engineer, National Highway Division, Sitamarhi Division, Sitamarhi.
11. The Divisional Amin, National Highway Division, Sitamarhi Division, Sitamarhi.
12. Basudeo Prasad, Son of Late Jhapas Sah, Resident of Sheohar, P.S. and District- Sheohar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Subodh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Advocate (8)
For the Resp No. 12	:	Mr. Prabhakar Singh, Advocate
		Ms. Ritika Kumari, Advocate
		Mr. Pranav Bhaskar, Advocate
		Mr. Anubhav Vatsa, Advocate
		Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 28-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

*“(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ
in the nature of mandamus commanding and
directing the Respondent authorities to demarcate*



the total area of Plot No. 5483 under Khata No. 2289 as per the Revisional Map and accordingly demarcate the acquisition line and also demarcate the remaining land of the petitioner according to Map.

(ii) For further direction to the Respondent authorities to restore the possession of the petitioner upon the remaining land measuring an area 9.6 feet as per the layout plan of the National Highway Division.

(iii) For further direction to the Respondent authorities to pay compensation to the petitioner for demolition of residential house upon the entire land except a wall of 10 Inch as the Respondent authorities have illegally destroyed the remaining construction of remaining portion after acquisition and further direction to the Respondent authorities for realization of compensation from the salary of the Respondent authorities.

(iv) For further direction to the Respondent authorities to hold an inquiry against the Respondent authorities who are indulged in demolition of the entire house exceeding acquisition



area fixing liability and after inquiry take an appropriate action against the authority concern.

(v) For further direction to the Respondent authorities to dispose of the representation of the petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time framed affording an opportunity of hearing.

(vi) And for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the eye of law.”

3. Numerous affidavits have been filed in the petition, rendering it voluminous. However, following the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, the sole prayer now is that the petitioner be granted liberty to approach the appropriate authority/Court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. The respondents have no objection to it.

5. In that background, granting said liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

