

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91855 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-83 Year-2016 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

-
1. Jay Ram Panjiyara @ Jayram Pangiyara, aged about- 59 years, Male, Son of Bindeshwari Panjiyara
 2. Deepak Panjiyara, aged about-55 years, Male, S/o Late Matru Panjiyara, Both Resident of Vill.- Nagardih P.S- Fulldumar, Dist- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Amarpur (Fullidumar) P.S. Case No. 83 of 2016, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 307, 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioners along with other accused persons had surrounded the house of the informant and had started firing indiscriminately, with an intention to kill.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. Both the parties are agnate and they were involved in free fight with respect to a piece of land, however, no one had sustained fire-arm injury. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that general and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. Both the parties are agnate and they were involved in free fight with respect to a piece of land, however, no one had sustained fire-arm injury. Petitioners have clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Amarpur (Fullidumar) P.S. Case No. 83 of 2016, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

