

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6329 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2022 Thana- BAKHARI District- Begusarai

Arvind Paswan Son of Yogendra Paswan Resident of House No. 468, Purab Tola, Ward No. 14, Khemka Villa, Gali No. 01 Colganj, P.S.- Kahalgaon, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Govt. of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajendra Kumar Jain

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 30-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of five cases. It is next submitted that the allegations as alleged in the FIR is that Laxmi Kumari in the Year 2011 had scored 110 marks in BETET Examination and based on the said marks, she secured appointment as Teacher but on verification, it was found that the marks-sheet



actually belongs to one Anand Kumar.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is also submitted that no doubt, petitioner has antecedent of five cases but then the same relates to such appointment. It is also submitted that petitioner was one of the members of the Appointment Committee and the certificate was submitted by the candidate seeking appointment as Teacher, at that point of time, the certificate is not verified and subsequently, in terms of the Rules the certificate submitted is required to be verified by the concerned institution. It is next submitted that no prudent person, knowing that the candidate who has submitted i.e. forged and fabricated, would give appointment and thus creates evidence against himself for getting implicated. It is also submitted that there may be some dereliction on part of the petitioner in carrying out with his duty but then in the nature of allegations as alleged, the petitioner cannot be faulted. It is also submitted that no doubt, petitioner has antecedent of five cases but then all the FIR was instituted for the reason that petitioner was the Member of the Appointment Committee. It is also submitted that Mahendra Poddar had approached this Court earlier seeking anticipatory



bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.88068 of 2024 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 28.02.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Bakhri P. S. Case No.43 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

