

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1112 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2023 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

-
1. Adarsh Kumar Thakur @ Adarsh Raj S/O Shailendra Thakur R/O Village- Babu Tola, P.S.- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur
 2. Aman Raj @ Ankush Kumar Thakur @ Ankush Kumar S/O Vikash Thakur @ Vyas Thakur R/O Village- Babu Tola, P.S.- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXX S/O Late Ram Snehi Singh R/O Vill.- Usti, P.S.- Paroo, P.O.- Bhagwanpur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 366, 366A, 367, 120B, 347, 342, 506, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4 and 8 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that on refusal to withdraw Paroo P.S. Case No. 334 of 2021, which was lodged by wife of the informant against family members of these



petitioners, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, threatened the informant to kidnap his minor daughter and thereafter, on the alleged date and time of occurrence, these two petitioners took away minor daughter of informant to Patna where they allegedly committed rape upon her.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case due to old enmity. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they kidnapped the minor daughter of informant and committed rape. The victim, in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., has supported the prosecution case.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the



Cr.P.C., the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners
is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

