

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2278 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-538 Year-2025 Thana- DARIYAPUR District- Saran

1. Md. Irfan S/o Md. Sameem R/o Village - Hukraha, P.S - Dariyapur, District - Saran
2. Md. Sahbaj @ Md. Sahbaz S/o Md. Irfan R/o Village - Hukraha, P.S - Dariyapur, District - Saran
3. Meena Begam W/o Md. Irfan R/o Village - Hukraha, P.S - Dariyapur, District - Saran
4. Siyana Praveen @ Saniya Praveen @ Sayana Praveen D/o Md. Irfan R/o Village - Hukraha, P.S - Dariyapur, District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 13-03-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his sister was solemnized with co-accused Md. Dilshad on 15.06.2025 as per Muslim rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed torture and harassment



with the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, all the accused persons committed murder of the victim.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be father-in-law, Petitioner No. 2 happens to be elder brother-in-law, Petitioner No. 3 happens to be mother-in-law and Petitioner No. 4 happens to be sister-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean



antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C., Saran at Chapra in connection with Dariyapur P.S. Case No. 538 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

