

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91789 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2025 Thana- BIBHUTIPUR District- Samastipur

Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjeet Kumar S/o- Late Shyamsunder Prasad R/v-
Mohanpur Boria Ps- Bibhutipur Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mirityunjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2),
118(1), 74, 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.,
2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases but then one case was
compromised and other case is with respect to offences carrying
punishment of 7 years and less and the informant alleges that his
sons were intercepted by 14 named accused persons including
the petitioner and on orders of Santosh, accused Rishi, Rahul,
Vinod, Anand, Subodh and petitioner with sharp edged weapon,
iron rod, pagharia, bhala, farsha and khanti respectively



assaulted them, further on alarm, when informant, Sanjeet and Suhani came to save them, accused Abhishek assaulted Suhani who was pregnant and thereafter accused persons assaulted Sanjeet and Yadunandan and snatched chain of Suhani.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of assault is not specific. It is next submitted that no doubt Amit suffered two injuries and one injury is opined to be grievous and the other one simple. It is thus submitted that had so many accused assaulted the injured in the manner as alleged in the FIR, in that event, the injured would have suffered more injury. It is next submitted that from side of the petitioner also Bibhutipur P.S. Case No.53/2025 has been instituted against the side of the informant. It is next submitted that since son of the informant was involved in liquor trade and the police used to raid his premise as such the informant was of the view that the police raid their house at the behest of the side of the petitioner, hence for this reason an altercation had taken place, in which both side assaulted each other. It is further submitted that petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2025 and charge sheet has



been submitted. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bibhutipur P.S. Case No.52/2025.

7. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial, in both the conditions, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

