

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.587 of 2026

-
1. Avinash Kumar Tiwari Son of Kaushal Kishor Tiwari, Resident of Village - Shrikarpur, P.S - Guthani, District - Siwan (Bihar).
 2. Janardan Rai Son of Late Paras Nath Rai, Resident of Village- Goharua, P.S - Guthani, District - Siwan (Bihar).
 3. Babulal Ram Son of Gajaraj Ram, Resident of Village - Nainijor P.S- Guthani, District - Siwan (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food Corporation, Patna.
3. The Head I.T. Cell, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, District- Siwan.
5. The District Supply Officer, District- Siwan
6. The District Manager, Bihar State Food Corporation, District- Siwan.
7. The Additional District Manager, Bihar State Food Corporation, District- Siwan.
8. The Sub Divisional Officer, Siwan, District - Siwan.
9. The Block Supply officer, Guthani, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kumar Gaurav, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Government Pleader (24)
For the BSFC		Mr. Shailendra Kr. Singh, Adv.
		Mr. Utkarsh Utpal, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2026 Without going into the merits or demerits of the case,

the present writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission

with the consent of both the counsels.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner submits that the petitioner is a PDS dealer and has



been continuing since the year 2020. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have given suitable representations dated 25.08.2020, 28.12.2022, 21.11.2025, 21.08.2025 and 12.03.2025 ventilating his grievance (Annexure P/3 series). That the E-pos machine do not reflect the correct quantity of the grains which are available physically in the shop of the petitioner. However the authorities till date have not taken any steps to rectify the E-pos machine. Learned counsel submits that it would suffice for the present if a direction is given to the Respondent No. 8, i.e., Sub-Divisional Officer to consider the representations made by the petitioners and take a suitable action for rectifying the E-pos machine.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State submits that he has no objection if a direction is given to the Respondent No. 8, i.e., Sub-Divisional Officer to consider the representations made by the petitioners strictly in accordance with law.

4. Having regard to the same, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the Respondent No. 8, i.e., Sub-Divisional Officer to consider the representations made by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this



order. Any decision taken by the authorities shall be communicated to the parties.

5. With the above directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Bhardwaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

