

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-606 Year-2020 Thana- SARAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

Raviranjana Ray @ Ravi Ranjan S/O Hari Ray R/O Village- Sarmastpur, P.S-
Saraiya, Distt.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renuka Ratnakar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 272, 273 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code as well as Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedents of three cases out of which two cases are under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 8082.360 litres of liquor from container vehicle. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on the fact that Mobile No.7667705089 was used in the



alleged occurrence which is registered in the name of the petitioner. It is further submitted that no prudent person would use his own mobile for committing an occurrence and thus, would create evidence against himself and hence, would get implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that his friend would misuse the mobile in the manner as alleged.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge Excise-II, Muzaffarpur in connection with Saraiya P.S. Case No.606 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. The application stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bond of the petitioner shall verify



the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedents of more than three cases, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedents of only three cases, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

8. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, based on instruction, submits that petitioner undertakes to deposit an amount of Rs.5,000/- with Lawyers' Association, Patna High Court within a period of two weeks from today.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

