

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2256 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2014 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

1. Biru Kumar @ Guddu Ram son of Ram Pratap Ram Resident OF Village- Chaturpatti, P.S-Paru, District-Muzaffarpur
2. Rajdhari Ram son of Late Ramprit Ram Resident OF Village- Chaturpatti, P.S-Paru, District-Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baidhyanath Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2026 Heard Mr. Baidyanath Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 151 of 2014 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 121, 121(A), 124(a), 427, 120(B) and 307 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 3& 4 of the Explosive Substance Act, Sections 3 & 4 of the Prevention of Damage of Public Property Act, Sections 16 & 17 of the Unlawful Activities Prevention Act, Section 17 of the Criminal Law Amendment Act, Sections 150, 151, 152 of the Railway Act, 1989.



3. The case of the prosecution is that a goods train has derailed near Harpur Halt between Chakia and Mehshi Railway Station. Chakia Police visited the place of the occurrence, and it is alleged that CPI (Maoist) has given a call of *bund*, and they kept explosives, and on account of that, a goods train has derailed. Altogether 51 accused persons are named in the FIR.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is no specific allegation against these petitioners and there is no eye witness to the occurrence. It has further been submitted that the only allegation against the petitioners is that they are member of the mob. It has also been submitted that similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by learned Co-ordinate Benches vide Cr. Misc. Nos. 20568 of 2015, 7434 of 2018 and so on. The case of these petitioners stands on similar footing. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 09.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.



6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each of them with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 3rd Additional Sessions Judge, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 151 of 2014.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

