

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91430 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1144 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Jamui

=====

Mukesh Yadav Yugeshwar Yadav @ Jugeshar Yadav R/O Village - Matnag,
P.S- Manika, District- Latehar, State- Jharkhand

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with JAM
P.S. Case No. 1144 of 2025 instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 30(a), 32(3) of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that total 161.280
litres of liquor was recovered from car.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No



incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner is not the owner of the vehicle. It is further submitted that petitioner is the driver of the vehicle in question but he had no knowledge regarding the goods loaded in the vehicle. The petitioner is in custody since 21.11.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with JAM P.S. Case No. 1144 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two



consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the
bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

