

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1722 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2016 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Jamui

=====

Mukesh Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar S/o Ambika Yadav @ Amerika Yadav R/o
Village - Panchmahua, P.S - Sikandara, District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madan Mohan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 22-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 47(a) of the
Bihar Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 30 liters of liquor from cattle shed of the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and cattle shed is a
place outside the house and thus is accessible to villagers at
large. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his



own premises for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against himself and hence would get implicated, it is also submitted that it appears that some villagers inimical to the family of the petitioner concealed meager amount of liquor with an intent to implicate the entire family and petitioner came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Case No. 10C² of 2016 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even



one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

