

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.309 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-330 Year-2025 Thana- KALYANPUR District- East Champaran

Ajay Kumar Singh, aged about-54 years, S/o Late Ramadhar Singh R/o
Village - Pipra Khem, P.S - Kalyanpur, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sweta Kumari D/o Brij Kishore Sharma W/o Mritunjay Kumar Singh, At
present R/o Viilage - Shankar Saraiya, Babu Tola, P.S - Turkauliya, District -
East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Advocate Mr. Adarsh Parasar, Advocate Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate Mr. Mayank Raj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner is in custody in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 82(1), 85, 88, 64, 3(5) of the
BNS and Section 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner along
with other accused persons alleged to have beaten the informant
and tried to strangle her with the help of towel (*gamcha*) and
some other co-accused persons have also poured kerosene oil on
her and have tried to burn her for death. It is also alleged that



the accused persons were demanding Mahindra XUV 500 Car and also exploited her mentally and physically and co-accused persons, namely, Vishal Singh and present petitioner Ajay Kumar Singh have also tried to make illicit relationship with her forcibly.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He next submits that marriage of the victim lady was solemnized with the son of the petitioner on 08.02.2023 and as per allegation in the FIR, the said occurrence had taken place on 30.07.2025 at 1:00 P.M but the FIR was lodged on 24.08.2025 after a delay of 25 days without any plausible explanation. He next submits that petitioner is the father-in-law of the petitioner and there is no specific allegation against the petitioner. He submits that petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and period of custody of the present petitioner, let the above-named



petitioner be released on bail, on his furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge, Exclusive Special Judge (Rape & POCSO Cases) East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No.330 of 2025.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Harshita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

