

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1348 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

Dilip Kumar Son of Virender Prasad R/o B-14 B, Gali No. 3, near Durga
Mandir, Kunwar Singh Nagar, Nangloi, West Delhi, Delhi- 110041

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pushkar Prashant

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindar Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-01-2026 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State, Sri Rabindra Kumar.
- 2.** The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 272, 273 and 420 of IPC
and Sections 30(a) and 41(1) of the Bihar Excise Act.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 1525 litres of liquor from a pickup vehicle.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the
seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on confessional
statement of Rajendra in police custody which does not have any
evidentiary value.
- 5.** Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 141 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

