

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1053 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-502 Year-2025 Thana- KISHANGANJ District- Kishanganj

1. Kudrat Ansari @ Kudrat S/O Late Makira Ansari Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
2. Md. Nasim @ Nasim Son of Nur Mohammad @ Jharuwa Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
3. Musharraf Ansari @ Musharraf Son of Late Makira Ansari Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
4. Aslam @ Aslam Alam Son of Islam @ Md Kurban Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
5. Sakil @ Md Shahjada Son of Sahid @ Md Sahid Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
6. Lal Bano @ Lal Banu Wife of Late Makira Ansari Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
7. Kayum Son of Sikandar @ Afak Ansari Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj
8. Bittu @ Md Bittu Son of Late Kamruddin Resident of Village- Khagra Machhmara, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, Addl. Public Prosecutor

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with Kishanganj P.S. Case No. 502 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 190, 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(2), 109(1), 132, 74, 262, 263(a) and 265 of Bharatiya



Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution case, when police officials apprehended petitioner no. 1 in connection with other case, he and his associates, including these petitioners, armed with weapons, attacked the police team.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. Allegation of assault is general and omnibus and there is no specific accusation of any overt act against these petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the fact that these petitioners attacked police officials while they were performing there duties and got released petitioner no. 1 from police custody, prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

