

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1840 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-298 Year-2020 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Bhajo yadav @ Ramesh Yadav Son of Jagdish Yadav R/o Village - Amjhar,
P.S. - Fullidumar, Dist. - Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepankar Raj, Advocate
Ms. Shivalika Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 Heard Mr. Deepankar Raj, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
13.09.2025 in connection with Amarpur (Fullidumar) P.S. Case
No. 298 of 2020, F.I.R. dated 10.06.2020 for the offences
punishable under Sections 307, 302/34 of the I.P.C. and section
3/4 Explosive Act.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other
co-accused person came and started abusing the informant's
husband and on protest, hurled two bombs upon informant's
husband resultantly her husband died at the spot while grand
daughter of the informant sustained injuries on the leg.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. Although, the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against the petitioner rather the specific allegation of overt act is attributed against co-accused Pramod Yadav and similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Pappu Yadav and Raju Yadav have been granted regular bail by different coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 10.03.2021 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3043 of 2021 and co-accused, namely, Anandi Yadav has been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.04.2021 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 2540 of 2024. He further submits that the police after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent, there is no specific allegation of any assault or over act attributed against the petitioner and similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted bail by different Coordinate Benches of this Hon'ble Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.F.C.,
Banka in connection with Amarpur (Fullidumar) P.S. Case No.
298 of 2020, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

