

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.220 of 2026

=====

Deepak Kumar @ Dipak Kumar @ Depak Kumar S/o Maheshwar Rai, R/o
village- Mothahan Phakirana, P.S. - Rampurhari, Dist.- Mzuaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land and Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, the Rural Work Department Bihar, Patna.
3. The Executive Engineer, The Rural Work Department, work Pramandal, Muzaffarpur East- 2, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.
5. The Circle Officer, Bochaha, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.
6. The Director Dharamshila Construction, Gosainpur, Harser, Siwaipatti, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Standing Counsel (09)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of the appropriate
writ/writs/direction/directions/order/orders
commanding the respondents to remove the
illegal encroachment made by the State-
respondents and his contractor (Respondent no.
6) from the personal raiti Khatiani Land of the
petitioner; whereupon, without consent of the*



petitioner, without giving notice and opportunity of being heard to the petitioner, and without making Land acquisition process, and without giving any amount of compensation to the petitioner. The respondents have made road, under the scheme of Hon'ble Chief Minister's village contact Scheme (Chief Minister's Rural connectivity Scheme) in the project of Road Construction from Khanejadpur Siwan, to Primary School, Mothaha Maul.

This encroachment has been made by the respondents over the 4-decimal of land of the petitioner bearing Khata no. 637, Khesra No. -01, situated in Mauja-Jhapha, Thana no. 594, Anchal Minapur, P.S. Rampurhari, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice, if he is allowed to approach the respondent no.4, the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Muzaffarpur for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. Accepting the submissions put forward by the



parties, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the respondent no.4, the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Muzaffarpur, who shall after putting the parties on notice/hearing them, will take the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

