

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1248 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2025 Thana- ALIPUR District- Gaya

=====

Gaurav Paswan @ Gora Paswan @ Gaurav Paswan Gora @ Gora S/O
Suryadev Paswan Resident of Village- Agar, P.S.- Alipur, District- Gayaji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Yogesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 29-04-2026 Heard Mr.Yogesh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and Mr.Ram
Sumiran Rai, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
04.06.2025 in connection with Alipur P.S. Case No. 53 of 2025,
F.I.R. dated 18.03.2025 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 80(2), 85,3(5) of of BNS,2023 and Section 3/4
of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that she
alongwith other co-accused persons have in furtherance of their
common intention committed the dowry death of the sister of
the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the ground that he is husband of the deceased. It appears from the FIR that the date of occurrence as alleged in the FIR is 16.03.2025 but the present FIR has been instituted on 18.03.2025 after delay of two days afterthought only to falsely implicate the petitioner in the present case, apart from the aforesaid, nothing has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State, on the basis of the material available on the record and the case diary, have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has come during investigation in paragraph-46 and 47 of the case diary that the petitioner has committed murder to the deceased, apart from that, the postmortem report of the deceased fully supported the case of the prosecution as alleged in the FIR.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Alipur P.S.



Case No. 53 of 2025, pending in the court of learned Additional
Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Gayaji.

7. Prayer is refused.

8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to
expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

