

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2882 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-506 Year-2025 Thana- BARH District- Patna

1. Raushan Kumar S/O Mithlesh Paswan @ Mittal Paswan Resident of Govindpur, Barh, P.S- Barh, District- Patna.
2. Vishal Kumar Son of Parmod Paswan Resident of Govindpur, Barh, P.S- Barh, District- Patna.
3. Rajesh Kumar @ Rajje Paswan Son of Binda Paswan Resident of Shah Salempur, Jalgobind, P.S- Barh, District- Patna.
4. Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Paswan Son of Suresh Das @ Suresh Paswan Resident of Sah Salempur, Ibrahimpur, Barh, P.S- Barh, District- Patna.
5. Vicky Kumar @ Vicky Paswan Son of Suresh Paswan Resident of Sah Salempur, Ibrahimpur, Barh, P.S- Barh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 22-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, informant and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 333, 109, 76, 303(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per prosecution case, on the alleged date and time of occurrence, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, entered the house of informant and tried to commit theft. It is further alleged that upon protest,



these petitioners assaulted informant and her family members as a result of which several persons sustained injuries.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics. Allegation of assault against petitioner nos. 2 to 5 is general and omnibus and injury, allegedly, caused by them is simple in nature. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that petitioner no. 1 brutally assaulted informant as a result of which, she sustained grievous injury.

6. In view of the fact that petitioner no. 1 caused grievous injury, **prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner No. 1 is refused.**

7. However, considering the nature of accusation against petitioner Nos. 2 to 5, the **anticipatory bail with regard to petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 is allowed** and it is ordered that the above named petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief
Judicial Magistrate-I, Barh (Patna) in connection with Barh P. S.
Case No. 506 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under
Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

