

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1538 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2024 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

Raju Sah @ Rahu Kumar @ Raju Kumar S/o Chalittar Sah Resident of
Village- Sarahi ward no. 40, P.S.- Saharsa, District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

2. X W/o-Y Resident of Village- Sarahi, Ward No. 4, P.S. and District- Saharsa

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Randhir Kumar No 1, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

For the OP No. 2 : Mr. Satish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Special
POCSO Case No. 26 of 2024 arising out of Saharsa (Town)
P.S. Case No. 76 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 376AB, 511, 341, 323, 504/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 09.12.2024 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 48238 of 2024, and again vide order dated
07.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 26415 of 2025, regular bail
of the petitioner was rejected by this Court, considering the
nature of accusation and gravity of offence.

4. In compliance of the order dated 17.01.2026 a report
dated 29.01.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has



been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of eight charge-sheeted witnesses, five witnesses have been examined.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 28.01.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

