

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90853 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2018 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Manoj Kumar Thakur Son of Hari Mohan Thakur Resident of Village -
Sigiyaun, P.S.- Andhara Thadhi, Dist.- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindeswari Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 Heard Mr. Bindeswari Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner as well as Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
11.08.2025 in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 116 of
2018, F.I.R. dated 22.05.2018 for the offences punishable under
Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner along
with other co-accused persons, defaulted an amount of
Rs.13,21,242/- showing disbursement of this amount as loan to
a number of persons causing financial loss to the company.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against



the petitioner is false and fabricate and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Tripurai Sharan Upadhyay, Sujeet Kumar and Dharmendra Kumar have been granted the privilege of regular bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 29.11.2023 and 25.10.018 in Cr. Misc. No. 74294 of 2023 and Cr.Misc. No. 52790 of 2018. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 11.08.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent and similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted the privilege of regular bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M. Hazipur, Vaishali in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 116 of 2018, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

