

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91817 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1052 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

1. Anjali Kumari @ Anjali Devi Wife of Ravi Kumar Resident of Village- Parsaunia, P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali
2. Kamini Devi @ Kamini Kumari Wife of Raushan Kumar Resident of Village- Parsaunia, P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 132, 262, 263, 352, 351(2), 191(2) and 190 of BNS and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are person with clean antecedent and are women and allegation is of recovery of 12.09 litres of liquor from shop of Bashista Ray.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were not arrested from the spot as such nothing was



recovered from their conscious possession and the alleged recovery is from the shop of Bashista and petitioners came to be implicated with an allegation that they along with other accused persons freed Ravi Kumar from police custody. It is next submitted that petitioners being related to Ravi Kumar came to be implicated only with a view to coerce Ravi and Bashista into submission.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 1052 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioners, for the purposes of



seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed their antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed with respect to that petitioner who had concealed his criminal antecedent before this Court, but after verification if it is found that petitioners are person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

