

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3684 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2020 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

1. SUNIL KUMAR SINGH S/O HEM NARAYAN SINGH R/o vill - Chautham, P.s.- Chautham, Distt.- Khagaria
2. Pappu Singh @ Subodh Kumar Singh S/o Harinandan Singh R/o vill - Chautham, P.s.- Chautham, Distt.- Khagaria
3. Saurav Kumar @ Saurav Kumar Singh @ Saurabh Kumar Singh S/o Anil Kumar Singh R/o vill - Chautham, P.s.- Chautham, Distt.- Khagaria
4. Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Kumar Singh S/o Vinay Kumar Singh R/o vill - Chautham, P.s.- Chautham, Distt.- Khagaria
5. Kundan Kumar Singh @ Kundan Kumar S/o Vinay Kumar Singh R/o vill - Chautham, P.s.- Chautham, Distt.- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Narayan Singh,Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 At the very outset, looking to the antecedents of petitioner no. 1, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application of petitioner no.1, SUNIL KUMAR SINGH.

2. Permission is granted.

3. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no.1, SUNIL KUMAR SINGH stands dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Now, application for anticipatory bail of petitioners no. 2 to 5 are being considered as they are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mansi Police Station Case No. 315 of 2020 dated 12.11.2020, disclosing the offence under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 504, 506/34 of the IPC lodged by the informant, Satish Kumar Chaudhary.



5. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that he being a Sales Manager of CNHI, Capital India Pvt. Ltd on 12.11.2020 had gone for finance of loan of a customer with one Ravi Bhushan Kumar and when they were getting back to Khagaria, the accused/petitioners along with others stopped them, assaulted them mercilessly by means of rod and also deprived of their belongings. Accordingly, the present FIR.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the injuries caused to the informant and Ravi Bhushan Kumar is simple in nature and the allegations against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature and they are working in a Finance Company and earning their livelihood along with the informant. He further submits that petitioner no.2 has got no criminal antecedent while petitioner no. 3 has got two antecedents and petitioner nos. 4 and 5 have got one antecedent each, in which petitioners no. 2, 3, 4 and 5 are on bail. It has next been submitted that these petitioners were granted the privilege of police bail during course of investigation and after investigation charge-sheet has been filed which has occasioned these petitioners to seek anticipatory bail. The names of these petitioners have been dragged in this case for ulterior reasons while they have committed no such offence as alleged in the



FIR. The informant is also a salesman in the said Finance Company in which these petitioners are working. The petitioners further undertakes to abide by the conditions as imposed by this Court, if they are granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. On the other hand, learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

8. After having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that the allegations against these petitioners are general and omnibus in nature and the injuries caused to the informant and one Ravi Bhushan Kumar are simple in nature and the petitioners are ready to abide by the conditions as imposed by the Courts, this Court is inclined to grant the petitioners the privilege of anticipatory bail.

9. This application for anticipatory bail is, accordingly, allowed.

10. Let the petitioners, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Miss. Jyotsna, learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Khagaria/transferee court in



connection with aforesaid Police Station Case subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S. 2023, as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his/her bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

