

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.638 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-595 Year-2025 Thana- DARIYAPUR District- Saran

Sunil Ray Late Yogendra Ray @ Yogi Ray Resident of Village- Barway,
Police station- Dariyapur, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Mili Kumari, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Braj Kishore Prasad, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 03-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Dariyapur P.S. Case No. 595 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., while the informant was returning to his home at 02:30 A.M., the petitioner and other co-accused assaulted the informant and snatched a sum of Rs.12,000/- from the pocket of the informant and gold chain.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Both the parties



are co-villagers and due to hot talk between them, they indulged into fierce fight and as a result of heat of passion and spur of the moment, the petitioner may have caused some injury to the informant in his self defence without intention. As per the opinion of the doctor, the injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the F.I.R. and the injury report, the petitioner may have caused some injury to the informant in his self defence without intention and as per the opinion of the doctor, the injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature, I find that the petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chapra, Saran in



connection with Dariyapur P.S. Case No. 595 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

8. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para- 3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

