

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90915 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-314 Year-2025 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

Shubham Kumar Singh @ Subham @ Subham Kumar @ Vikki S/o Rajeev
Singh @ Rajiv Singh R/o Village- Arout, P.S- Rosera, Dist- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Madhav Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 Heard Mr.Madhav Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, learned A.P.P. for the
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
21.09.2025 in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 314 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 19.09.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 308(4),109,3(5) of BNS, 2023 and
Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. As per FIR, specific allegation of firing is attributed
against co-accused person, namely, Kari Singh and there is no
allegation of firing against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has
falsely been implicated in the present case. It appears from the



FIR that although the petitioner is named in the FIR but the specific allegation of firing is attributed against co-accused person, namely, Kari Singh and petitioner has been made accused in the present case due to village politics. The police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 21.09.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent and there is no allegation of firing against the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Rosera, Samastipur in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 314 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

