

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91059 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-172 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRAHI District- Sheohar

Sachin Kumar Son of Jimdar Ray Resident of Village- Sonaul Sultan, P.S.-
Purnahiya, District- Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Ms. Divya Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 172 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant's bike was stolen by unknown miscreants.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the course of investigation,



police had information that three persons had gathered to sell a stolen bike and two bikes were recovered from them. One of the bikes is the stolen bike. He also submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner rather the recovery was made from the joint possession of three persons. There is also delay of 11 days in lodging the FIR without explaining the plausible reason. A statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 09.09.2025. It has further been submitted that similarly situated other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court vide order dated 15.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84921 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sheohar in connection with
Piprahi P.S. Case No. 172 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

