

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91399 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-787 Year-2023 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Sikandar Bhilai Mahto @ Sikandar Kumar @ Sikandar Kumar S/O Late Bhila Mahto Resident of Village- Fatepur Tole Lakshmipur, Ward No. 6, P.S.- Sonbarsa, Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 787 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody since 27.07.2024 and the informant alleges that his son developed friendship with Sikandar and they used to go for withdrawing money from ATM together and his son used to give his ATM and Cheque Book to Chitranjan for withdrawing money, further Chitranjan disclosed that he lost his mobile but



could not institute a case since his wife was hospitalized and his son believed Chitranjan, it is next alleged that when his son went to withdraw money in April, 2023 for his wife's treatment, he came to know that only Rs. 60,000/- is left in his account when on 22.12.2022 he had an amount of Rs.50,10,454/-, on enquiry, it transpired that Chitranjan, his uncle Sikandar @ Bhilai, Anil, Rahul and Madan together have withdrawn money on different dates.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner being uncle of Chitranjan has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant with an allegation that he was also instrumental in withdrawing money from the account of the son of the informant in connivance with Chitranjan and Anil. It is further submitted that charge sheet has been submitted, as such petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission and also taking into consideration the fact that the thrust of the allegation is not alleged against the petitioner, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousands) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 787 of 2023.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

