

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.639 of 2026

Mantu Chandra Das Son of-Late Tarakanth Das, Resident of village- Ward No. 10, Harpurwa Bangali Colony, Police Station- Yogapatti, District- West Champaran, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reform and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Land Reform and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Trihut Division Land Reform and Revenue Department, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Champaran Range, Bettiah, West Champaran.
5. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran.
6. The Additional Collector, Bettiah, West Champaran.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.
8. The Circle Officer, Yogapatti, West Champaran.
9. The Officer-In-charge cum S.H.O., Yogapatti, Bettiah, West Champaran.
10. Amerika Yadav, Son of- Late Tilakdhari Yadav, Resident of village- Mahavirpur, P.S.- Yogapatti, District- West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel-13
	:	Mr. Bijay Bhushan Prasad, AC to SC-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ/s, order/s, direction/s in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondent



Authorities for restraining the Private Respondent to forcibly Pakka Construction over the private land of the petitioner situated under Mauja- Bagahi Tola Harpurwa, Thana No. 49, Khata No. 57, Khesra No. 103 Rakba admeasuring 3.61 Dismal and From Khata No. 405(old), Keshra No. 199(old) .64 Dismal total Rakba 4.25 Dismal which has been trying to encroach by Private Respondent.

(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ/s, order/s, direction/s in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing to the Respondents to initiate a valid proceeding of land acquisition for purpose of land acquiring the land of the petitioner, so that fair compensation should be pay to the petitioner.

(iii) for that during pendency of aforesaid writ application may kindly restrain the Respondent No. 10 to not construct Pakka Construction/Public road over the private land of the petitioner.

(iv) for that to impose a penalty over



*the Respondent to their willful illegal act against
the rule of law.*

*(v) to pass such other order/orders as
your lordships may deem fit and proper in facts
and circumstances of the case.”*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be approaching the appropriate authority (including respondent no.7) for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

