

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91798 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRASI District- West Champaran

Gyanti Devi Wife of Toofani Ram Resident of Village - Chankuhawa, Police
Station - Piprasi, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Milind Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Piprasi
P.S. Case No. 73 of 2025 instituted for the offences under
Sections 103(1), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 04.09.2025 at
night, the accused persons assaulted the informant and his
family, and Gyanti Devi, petitioner herein, caused head injury to
his wife Bachiya Devi with lathi, who later died during
treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.10.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the deceased due to which the deceased died. Learned APP, therefore, prays that petitioner may not be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being direct allegation of assaulting the deceased against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of five months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below,



the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit
without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

