

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91840 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-923 Year-2022 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

=====

Rintu Singh, S/O Vinod Singh, R/O Village- Maharajpur Chand, PS- Sahatwar, District- Balia (UP). At present R/O Colony- Hanuman Nagar, Bypass Road, Near Ford Hospital, PS- Ramkrishna Nagar, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that inadvertently he has stated that the petitioner is a male, however, the same has been corrected by way of supplementary affidavit.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

3. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sonpur P.S. Case No.923 of 2022 registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 504, 506, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is that she had fraudulently got the car of the husband of the informant transferred in her name on the basis of forged and fabricated



documents.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR has been lodged with a view to extort money from the petitioner after the death of the husband of the informant. It has further been submitted that the petitioner had purchased the car three years prior to the death of the husband of the informant and had made all such payments for which a sale deed was also entered between the parties on 23.02.2017. It has next been submitted that the cancellation of the registration on the application of the informant by the DTO was also subsequently set aside by the learned District Magistrate, Patna, in the appeal filed by the petitioner and the order has been brought on record by way of Annexure-P/4. It has also been submitted that there is no ill intent on behalf of the petitioner with regard to the registration of the car, which was duly purchased by the petitioner after making all payments. The only part which has been found against the petitioner is the fact that there was an affidavit which is stated to be sworn after the death of the husband of the informant. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner, being a lady, had no concern whatsoever in the said transaction and she is a person with clean antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer



for anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioner above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sonpur P.S. Case No.923 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- (ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
- (iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.
- (v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is



found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

9. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

