

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.92017 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-295 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

Beyash Mukhiya S/o Late Bhagrit Mukhiya R/o Vill- Kuwarpur, Bintoli, P.S.-
Pipra, Dist- East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sumit Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Parmanand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 295 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109(1), 303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 10.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 64127 of 2025, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioners and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioners seek bail in
connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 295 of
2025 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109(1),
303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita.*

3. The petitioners are accused of



killing the deceased because the deceased and his family used to oppose the illegal business of selling liquor by Beyash Mukhiya i.e., petitioner no. 2 and thereafter, the petitioner no. 2 and other accused persons are said to have assaulted the victim and caused his death 4. Considering the allegation levelled against petitioner no. 2 i.e., Beyash Mukhiya, his application for bail is dismissed.

5. So far as petitioner nos. 1 and 3 are concerned, considering the general allegation against them and the fact that petitioner no. 1 is a woman, their prayer for bail is allowed.

6. Let the petitioner nos. 1 and 3, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Sadar, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 295 of 2025.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges have not been framed.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that no fresh ground for grant of bail is made out, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

7. The trial Court is expected to expedite the trial and frame the charge in accordance with law.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

