

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90862 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2025 Thana- NIRMALI District- Supaul

=====

Dipesh Kumar @ Dipesh Yadav S/O Inder Yadav @ Upendra Yadav R/O Vil.-
Mahhua Ward 03,P.S- Nirmali,Dist.- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXX D/O Kedar Pandey R/O Vill.- Khaira Rampur,P.S- Khaira, Dist-
Chhapra at Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 19-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. Even after valid service of notice upon O.P.

No.2 he has chosen not to appear before this Court.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for
grant of regular bail in connection with Nirmali P.S. Case No.
135 of 2025 dated 06.08.2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 75, 144, 352,
351(2) and 351(3) of B.N.S. and Sections 6, 8 and 12 of POCSO
Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the sister of the
informant, namely, Rani Kumari went out of the house at 03:00
PM and did not return till late night, search was made but even



then she was not found. It is alleged that on 05.08.2025, the sister of the informant contacted at the mobile phone of her husband and messaged that this petitioner upon false pretext has called her at Nirmali Sipahi Chowk and has confined her in a room and has told to do bad work. The victim stated that upon refusal, the victim was assaulted and other girls were also in that joining room, the informant expressed that a sex racket was going on there and requested her to save her. Thereafter, the informant and her husband reached there and on the location of the mobile, the sister of the informant was traced.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he denies the allegation as made in the FIR. On previous occasion case diary and statement of the victim girl under Section 183 B.N.S.S. was called for, upon perusal of the same it transpires that the victim has categorically stated that no video was made and no bad work was done with her and she has went for the first time on that particular day. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 07.08.2025 and although he is accused in one case which is not of similar nature and he is on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, statement of the victim girl recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S. and the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Supaul in connection with Nirmali P.S. Case No. 135 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

