

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1067 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1830 Year-2021 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Sheela Kumari @ Kumari Sheela W/O Subhash Kumar Singh @ Subhash
Kumar R/O Shanti Apartment, Dusadhi Pakri, P.S- Kankarbagh, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. M/S Krishna Construction Partnership Firm through Sri Maninder Nath
Mishra S/O Late Shyam Nath Mishra R/O P.C Colony Lohia Nagar, P.S-
Kankarbagh, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, Sr. Advocate Ms. Riya Raj, Advocate Mr. Amarshakti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, Addl. Public Prosecutor

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-01-2026 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 420, 468 and 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the complaint case, the accused persons,
including this petitioner, in criminal conspiracy, dishonestly
executed sale deed by claiming right and title over the parking
space of the complainant and sold the same.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is the only daughter-in-law of the actual owner of



the land in question, i.e. Shanti Devi and complainant is the developer. The land in question is not related to the complainant rather it is the complainant who in order to capture whole land of the petitioner falsely implicated her in the present case. It is further submitted that from land revenue receipts of the year 2008 and 2024 it is crystal clear that portion measuring 24.83 decimal on which the agreement has been executed and the land left in question measuring 7.73 decimal belongs to Shanti Devi. Moreover, dispute involves sale and purchase of land between developer and owner of the land which is purely civil in nature and has been given colour of criminality only with a view to harass the petitioner. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering backdrop of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedent, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioner in the event of her arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned JMFC-XII, Patna in connection with Complaint Case No. 1830 of 2021, subject to



condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

