

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91459 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-418 Year-2025 Thana- DUMRA District- Sitamarhi

=====

Ravi Shankar (Mukhiya) S/o Mr. Prem Shankar Thakur R/o Village- Radhaur,
P.s- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur , Advocate

Mr. Purushottam Kumar , Advocate

Ms. Kajal , Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar , APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 13-05-2026 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner,

learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the

State .

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for

the offence punishable under Sections 103(2) and 61(2) of the

BNS and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3 . According to the prosecution case, the petitioner,

along with the other named co-accused persons, is alleged to



have killed the informant's brother by firing a gunshot.

4. On perusal of records as well as paragraph 173 of the Case Diary, it has come to light that this petitioner, namely, Ravishankar Mukhia was arrested and remanded to judicial custody. Thereafter, he in his confessional statement which was recorded by Sub-Inspector Vimlesh Kumar Singh of Dumra Police Station, in which he admitted that he conspired with Ranjan Kumar Pathak and other associates at his residence to plan the murder of the deceased, Madan Kumar Singh. He further disclosed that immediately following the execution of the murder, he was informed of the shooting on telephone; upon his inquiry regarding whether any mobile phones were left at the crime scene or not, then one Ranjan Pathak assured him that they do not carry mobile phones during such operations and executed the crime after conducting recce with associates. Consequently, this petitioner, Ravishankar advised co-accused Ranjan Pathak to change his SIM card. The audio recording of the aforementioned conversation was recovered from the mobile phone seized from this petitioner, who subsequently admitted that the recording contains the telephonic exchange between him and co-accused Ranjan Pathak regarding the commission of the alleged offence . Petitioner has got twelve criminal



antecedents.

5. Considering the materials collected during investigation, conduct of petitioner, criminal antecedents and other circumstances of case, prayer for regular bail of this petitioner is rejected. However, since petitioners are in custody since 06.11.2025 , learned Trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial, within the period of one year from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

