

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90757 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2025 Thana- GARHI District- Jamui

=====

AMIT KUMAR @ AMIT KUMAR SINGH @ FANO SINGH @ FANNO
SINGH S/O LATE RANJEET SINGH R/o vill - Malaypur, P.S.- Malaypur,
Distt.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 13-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Garhi
P.S. Case No. 121 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 25(1-A), 25(1-AA), 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of the
Arms Act, read with Sections 317(2), 317(5), 61(2) and 3(5) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on
06.08.2025, on receipt of secret information, a raiding team
conducted a raid at the house of co-accused, namely, Munna
Saw and allegedly apprehended five persons manufacturing
illegal firearms, from whose possession illegal arms, tools and
materials used for manufacturing firearms were recovered and
seized.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery. It is next submitted that name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person and the same has got no evidentiary value. The petitioner is in custody since 26.09.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 03.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 5550 of 2026.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Garhi P.S. Case No.
121 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

