

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.943 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2023 Thana- PATNA RAIL P.S. District- Patna

Anubhav Kumar @ Kanjhappa S/O Syam Prasad @ Umesh Prasad R/O
Mohalla- Koiri Tola, Math Lakshmanpur, P.S- Alamganj, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pramod Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Rajiv Nayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with S. Tr. No. 1011 of 2023, arising out of Patna Junction P.S. Case No. 115 of 2023, registered for the offence under Sections 393/307/326 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 06.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 27190 of 2025, which reads as under:

“Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S. Tr. No. 1011 of 2023, arising out of Patna Junction P.S. Case No. 115 of 2023, registered for the offence under Sections 393/307/326 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner was trying to commit loot in the train



has fired which hit the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. Petitioner has 13 criminal antecedents and he is in custody since 03.04.2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the gravity of offence and criminal antecedent of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is dismissed.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the trial has started and out of ten witnesses, six witnesses have been examined.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that six out of ten witnesses have been examined, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

