

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90492 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-270 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHI District- Saran

=====

ABHINAV KUMAR SINGH @ ANUBHAV KUMAR SINGH Son of
Kamakhya Narayan Singh R/o village - Madansanth, P.S.- Daudpur, District -
Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kundan Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 19-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No. 270 of 2025 dated 15.07.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 317(2) of B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-B) (a), 26 and 32 of Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, upon information, the police reached to the owner of New Dhiraj Telecom, namely Pratap Singh, he then stated that at 11.05 AM while he was giving articles to the customers, four persons came there and three persons entered the shop, who were armed with weapons and on threat of dire consequence Rs. 3,20,000/- was snatched,



although all of them showing their weapons fled away, the informant anyhow chased the miscreants, upon which this petitioner was caught and one pistol, three live cartridges and mobile were recovered from his possession.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the offence alleged under Section 317(2) B.N.S. in which the maximum punishment is three years and the charge-sheet has also been filed after conclusion of investigation under Section 317(5) B.N.S. and the punishment in that offence is also three years, nothing looted/public stolen article has been recovered from his possession. The petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2025 and although he is accused in some cases in which he is on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Saran at Chapra in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No. 270 of



2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

