

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3890 of 2026

Md. Salim Ansari Son of Late Md. Quashim Ansari, Resident of Village-Narwar, Ward No. 2, Mohalla-Gadiya, Jhanjharpur, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Madhubani, District Madhubani.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Jhanjharpur, District Madhubani.
4. The Deputy Collector, Lands Reforms, Jhanjharpur, District Madhubani.
5. The Circle Officer, Jhanjharpur, District Madhubani.
6. Md. Habi Ansari, son of Yaqoob Ansari, resident of Naruar, P.S.-Bhairavasthan, District-Madhubani.
7. Md. Rafique Ansari, son of Yaqoob Ansari, resident of Naruar, P.S.-Bhairavasthan, District-Madhubani.
8. Mostt. Mahtabiya, Wife of Late Yusuf Ansari, resident of Naruar, P.S.-Bhairavasthan, District-Madhubani.
9. Mostt. Salimni Khatoon, Wife of Late Bashir Ansari, resident of Naruar, P.S.-Bhairavasthan, District-Madhubani.
10. Md. Allauddin Ansari, Son of Late Yaqub Ansari, Resident of Village-Naruar, P.S. Bhairvasthan, District Madhubani.
11. Abdul Razzaque Ansari, Son of Md. Rafique Ansari, Resident of Village-Naruar, P.S. Bhairvasthan, District Madhubani.
12. Md. Nizam Ansari, Son of Md. Yusuf Ansari, Resident of Village-Naruar, P.S. Bhairvasthan, District Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate (11)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 13-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred:

*for issuance of an appropriate writ
and/or direction, commanding the
respondents and to quash the order dated*



16.09.2022 passed by the respondent DCLR, in Land Dispute Resolution Case No. 13 of 2022-23 whereby the learned DCLR held that the dispute between the parties is purely civil nature and hence the parties would avail remedy before competent civil court for their right, title and possession or any other relief or reliefs which the petitioner is found to be entitled to.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an appropriate petition shall be filed before an appropriate authority/ Tribunal/ Court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority/ Tribunal/ Court for the redressal of the grievance.

6. Since the petition was pending before this Court, limitation, if any, filed be considered in accordance with law.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

