

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1962 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-483 Year-2025 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Jitendra Sahni S/O Manezar Sahni R/O Village- Rudalpur Ward No. 11, P.S-
Nautan, Distt.- West Champaran. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X D/O Y R/O Village- Rudalpur Ward No. 11, P.S- Nautan, Distt.- West
Champaran. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-01-2026 Heard Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Shantanu Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
04.10.2025, in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 483 of 2025,
FIR dated 04.10.2025 registered for the offence under Sections
70(2), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 4 and 8 of the POCSO
Act.

3. The prosecution case in brief is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons committed rape upon the
informant, who is 14 years old.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been
implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation as
alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has



not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R and he has been made accused due to land dispute between the parties and both the parties are agnates to each other. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 04.10.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation of rape attributed against the petitioner and the victim has supported the case of the prosecution and apart from that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matter.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 483 of 2025, pending in the Court of Special Judge, POCSO-cum-D.A.S.J-VI, West Champaran, Bettiah.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

