

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.92010 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-525 Year-2021 Thana- GAURICHAK District- Patna

1. Ganauri Manjhi @ Gandura Manjhi S/o Bulalu Manjhi @ Bullu Manjhi Resident of Village- Tetri Musahari, P.S- Gaurichak, District- Patna
2. Shankar Manjhi S/o Dashrath Manjhi @ Dayal Manjhi Resident of Village- Tetri Musahari, P.S- Gaurichak, District- Patna
3. Dharmendra Manjhi S/o Jagdish Manjhi Resident of Village- Tetri Musahari, P.S- Gaurichak, District- Patna
4. Vasudev Manjhi S/o Karu Manjhi @ Bullu Manjhi Resident of Village- Tetri Musahari, P.S- Gaurichak, District- Patna
5. Mishri Manjhi S/o Dukhan Manjhi @ Dukhdev Manjhi @ Dukhdevan Manjhi Resident of Village- Tetri Musahari, P.S- Gaurichak, District- Patna
6. Vishnudev Manjhi @ Vishnu Dev Manjhi S/o Haathi Manjhi Resident of Village- Tetri Musahari, P.S- Gaurichak, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saroj Kumar Upadhyay
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the allegation is of recovery of 10 litres of liquor from two plastic



gallons.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the petitioner and he has no concern with the gallon and he came to be implicated at the instance of local person, but the name of the person, who disclosed the name of the petitioner is not disclosed in the F.I.R., which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/ successor Court in connection with Gaurichak P. S. Case No.525 of 2021, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.



7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event, if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioners for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed their antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

