

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.5099 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-300 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Darshan Mahto @ Darshan Kumar Son of Raghunath Mahto Resident of
village- Chilmil, Ward No 01, PS- Muffasil, Dist- Begusarai Bihar

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chandan Choudhary son of Late Jai Narayan choudhary Resident of village-
Sabant Moien Ward no. 16, Ps- Chhorahi Dist- Begusarai

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Usha Kumari 1, SPP
For the Respondent No.2:	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Sharma, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

4 25-06-2026 Heard Mr. Rakesh Kumar, learned counsel for the

appellant, Mr. Ranjan Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for the

Respondent No.2 as well as Ms. Usha Kumari 1, learned Spl.P.P.

for the State.

2. This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) against
refusal of the prayer for regular bail by order dated 25.11.2025
passed by the learned Court of Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST
(POA) Act, Begusarai, in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case
No. 300 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 19.09.2025 registered under
Sections 137, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and
Section 3(i) (r) (s) / 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST (POA) Act.

3. According to the prosecution case, this appellant



has kidnapped the daughter of the Respondent No. 2 and threatened the Respondent No. 2 for dire consequences and also abused him by caste narrated words.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the appellant is in custody since 24.10.2025 and the trial is not in progress.

5. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2 as well as learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and submits that from perusal of the FIR it appears that there is direct and specific allegation against the appellant that he has kidnapped the daughter of the Respondent No. 2. Apart from that the daughter of Respondent No. 2 was recovered and her statement was recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, 2023 in which she has fully supported the case of the prosecution. Apart from that the report of the learned trial court reveals that three witnesses have already been examined which suggest that the trial is going on.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as alleged in the FIR supported by the witnesses as well as report of the



learned trial court, I am not inclined to enlarge the appellant on bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 300 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Begusarai.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is affirmed and this appeal stands dismissed.

8. However, learned trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

