

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.503 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2025 Thana- GANGTA District- Munger

Bablu Prasad Singh @ Bablu Mandal S/o Kuldeep Prasad Singh @ Kulo  
Prasad Mandal Resident of Village- Paukari, P.S.- Gangta, Distt.- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      06-04-2026                      Heard Mr. Kumar Kamal Nayan, learned counsel for  
the petitioner and Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, learned Additional  
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since  
30.07.2025 in connection with Gangta P.S. Case No. 86 of 2025,  
F.I.R. dated 30.07.2025 for the offences punishable under  
Sections 25(1-A), 25(1-AA), 25(1-B)a, 26(i)(ii) and 35 of the  
Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, on a raid being  
conducted, the petitioner along with other accused persons are  
found to have been involved in the illegal manufacturing of  
arms.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the petitioner is working in the factory in question and he has no role at all in the present occurrence.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on the material available on record and the case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the F.I.R, it appears that altogether 43 items were recovered from the place of occurrence which suggests the involvement of the petitioner in the present crime in question and number of half manufactured arms were also recovered from the place of occurrence. Apart from that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Gangta P.S. Case No. 86 of 2025 pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M-II, Munger.

7. Prayer is refused.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Vanisha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

