

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.558 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2025 Thana- SIKTA District- West Champaran

=====

Vinod Baitha @ Binod Baitha S/o Vishwakarma Baitha Resident of Village-
Sirisiya Bazar, P.S.-Sikta, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5)
of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 13.10.2025 at 4:27
p.m., informant's son, namely, Wahid Jama @ Lal Babu called
on the phone of the informant which was received by the
informant's daughter, namely, Busara Khatoon, he informed her
that some people have apprehended him and are beating him in
Sirisiya and asked her to told the said incident to his father.
Thereafter, the daughter of the informant told the said incident
to the informant then, informant told his daughter to go there
and he would also reach there. When informant's daughter



reached Sirisiya where she found that the dead body of her brother was lying in 10+2 High School Sirisiya and his mobile phone was lying next to his dead body. Informant raised suspicion that he has dispute with his co-villagers, namely, Taufik Alam, Wakil Ahmad, Jhunni Khatoon, Badrun Nesha, therefore, they might have killed his son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case due to suspicion. Further submission is that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired during the investigation as one of the persons who slapped the informant's son when he was caught hold after committing theft. He next submits that there was neither intention having no knowledge nor the action of the petitioner was such that the same can be prosecuted u/s 103(1) of B.N.S. The charge-sheet has already been submitted after completion of investigation. Petitioner is in custody since 16.10.2025 having no criminal antecedent and he undertakes to co-operate in the trial. There is no chance of tampering with the evidence or absconding of the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail application of the petitioner.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the fact that charge-sheet has been submitted, clean antecedent of the petitioner and period of custody undergone, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Sikta P.S. Case No. 141 of 2025.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

